

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED II	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/160,665	09/25/98	KURIYAMA		К	M1866-18
		IM22/1004		EXAMINER	
MORRISON LA		di 1 I dan dan 2 di 14 14 14		ELVE, M	1
145 NORTH F				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MOUNT VERNO	N NY 10550			1725	6
				DATE MAILED:	10/04/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

*	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Cumment	09/160,665 Kuviyama e	uviyama et al.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit		
	M. A. ELVE 1725		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence add	ress	
Period for Reply	. <u>_</u>		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILIN	IG DATE	
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by definition of the communication.	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	timely.	
Status			
☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	8/9/2000	·	
☐ This action is FINAL.	, .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	ccept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is close , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	d in	
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s)	1 5 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
Of the above claim(s)9	= 12 is/are withdrawn from cons	ideration.	
□ Claim(s)			
□-Claim(s): 1-8 ξ	13-15 is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
		election	
□ Claim(s)			
	are subject to restriction or requirement.		
	requirement.		
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of The drawing(s) filed on is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. er.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). es of the priority documents have been umber)		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). es of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). es of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). es of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. objected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). es of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	n, PTO-15	

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-8 & 13-15 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 9-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected group II. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-8, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US Pat. 3,991,929).

Smith teaches coating and bonding of metals. The inside of a titanium sheath is coated with a tinning metal or alloy by heating. The coated sheath is then bonded by, for example, soldering to a metal article such as copper (abstract & col. 1, lines 45-62). It is preferred to use tin itself as the tinning metal. A wide range of tinning alloys may be used. Suitable tinning alloys

Application/Control Number: 09/160,665 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

include binary alloys containing a major proportion of tin and a minor proportion of bismuth, cadmium or zinc; other suitable alloys include ternary tin-containing alloys (col. 2, lines 22-36). The temperature at which the sheath of titanium (or an alloy thereof) may vary over a wide range, but it is generally preferred to use a temperature in the range from 350 to 450°C (col. 2, lines 66-68 & col. 3, lines 1-3). The coating may be enclosed in the sheath and bonding may be carried out in a furnace. The sheath is stopped at one end, filled with the tinning metal or alloy and then inserted into the furnace. The sheath and its contents are heated until the tinning metal or alloy is melted. The bonding of the sheath of titanium to another metal, preferably a pretinned electrically conductive metal, such as copper (col. 3, lines 10-40).

Smith does not teach the exact processing temperature or the form of the copper material, that is a foil or a powder as instant claims.

It is well settled that where patentability is predicated upon a change in condition of prior art process, such as temperatures, the change must be at least "critical", that is, it must lead to a new and unexpected result. The applicant has the burden of providing such proof of criticality.

Note In re Aller et al. 105 USPQ 223. Absent proof of such criticality in the present instance, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use temperature which melt the tin and titanium/copper eutectic for optimizing the joining of the sheath and its contents.

The method of making a novel and unobvious product or use of a different starting material may be obvious (that is, foils or powders or sheets and so forth) if the method is

Application/Control Number: 09/160,665 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

otherwise the same. Ex parte Orser 14 USPQ 2d 1987 (BPAI 1990); Ex parte Kifer 5 USPQ 2d 1904 (BPAI 1988); In re Durden 226 USPQ 359 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Payne 203 USPQ 245; In re Kanter 158 USPQ 331 (CCPA 1968); In re Hoeksema 141 USPQ 733 (CCPA 1964); In re Larsen 130 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1961); In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over paragraph 4 above and further in view of Kline (US Pat. 4,411,762).

Kline teaches the bonding of titanium on to a copper substrate, with the possible presence of a third material, in order to form an electrode. A eutectoid is formed between the titanium and the copper. The process is conducted in an inert atmosphere. Argon, helium and in some cases nitrogen are suitable inert materials (col. 3, lines 20-33; col. 4, lines 19-28 & 64-68; col. 5, lines 1-23; col. 7, lines 1-7 & 28-50; col. 12, lines 3-13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the processing environments, as taught by Kline to the Smith process because of enhanced bonding due to the negation of contaminants.

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Norris (US Pat. 4,715,525).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is (703) 308-0092. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan, can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax number for the group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of general nature to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

mae

September 28, 2000.